Attorney's Docket No.: 50277-2415

Patent

DECLARATION FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor(s), I hereby declare that:

My residence, mailing address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name.

I believe I am the original, first, and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or

"MAN	IAGING EVENT-CONDIT	TON ACTION TO VICE
the specification o	f which	TION-ACTION RULES IN A DATABASE SYSTEM"
<u>X</u>	is attached hereto. was filed on	
	United States Appli	cation Number as
	or PC1 International	Application Number
	and was amended	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
l heroby state #5 - 1		(If applicable)
	•	erstand the contents of the above-identified specification, amendment referred to above.
l acknowledge the	duty to disclose all inform ederal Regulations, Section	
oreign application(sometiments)	gn priority benefits under s) for patent or inventor's for patent or inventor's ca	Title 35, United States Code, Section 119(a)-(d), on any certificate listed below and have also identified below any
which priority is claii	med:	certificate listed below and have also identified below any rtificate having a filing date before that of the application on
which priority is clai	med:	rufficate having a filing date before that of the application on Priority <u>Claimed</u>
Prior Foreign Applic	med:	Priority
Prior Foreign Applic (Number) (Number)	med: ation(s)	Priority Claimed
Prior Foreign Applic (Number) (Number) (Number)	(Country) (Country) (Country)	Priority Claimed (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No
(Number) (Number) (Number) (Number) hereby declare that information and be nowledge that willful ader Section 1001 of	(Country) (Country) (Country) all statements made hereilelief are believed to be true false statements and the	Priority Claimed (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No in of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made; and further that these statements were made with the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both
Prior Foreign Applic (Number) (Number) (Number) (Number) hereby declare that information and be nowledge that willful ader Section 1001 opardize the validity Ill Name of Sole/Fin	(Country) (Country) (Country) all statements made hereicher are believed to be true false statements and the f Title 18 of the United State of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fansettion)	Priority Claimed (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No in of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made; and further that these statements were made with the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both sates Code and that such willful false statements may be patient issued thereon.
Prior Foreign Applic (Number) (Number) (Number) hereby declare that information and be nowledge that willful inder Section 1001 of opardize the validity wentor's Signature	(Country) (Country) (Country) all statements made hereighed are believed to be true false statements and the f Title 18 of the United State of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fant M. M	Priority Claimed (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No in of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made; and further that these statements were made with the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both ates Code and that such willful false statements may be better the patent issued thereon. ARAVIND YALAMANCHI
Prior Foreign Applic (Number) (Number) (Number) (Number) hereby declare that information and be nowledge that willful inder Section 1001 of opardize the validity wentor's Signature esidence Nashua,	(Country) (Country) (Country) all statements made herelelief are believed to be true false statements and the f Title 18 of the United State of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fand the control of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fand the control of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fand the control of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fand the control of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fand the control of the con	Priority Claimed (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No in of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made; and further that these statements were made with the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both stes Code and that such willful false statements may patent issued thereon. Date
Prior Foreign Applic (Number) (Number) (Number) (Number) nereby declare that information and be nowledge that willful inder Section 1001 opardize the validity wentor's Signature esidence Nashua,	(Country) (Country) (Country) all statements made hereighed are believed to be true false statements and the f Title 18 of the United State of the application or any past Inventor (given name, fant M. M	Priority Claimed (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No (Day/Month/Year Filed) Yes No in of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made; and further that these statements were made with the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both stes Code and that such willful false statements may patent issued thereon.

OID 2003-248-01

2/1/02

Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56 <u>Duty to Disclose Information Material to Patentability</u>

- (a) A patent by its very nature is affected with a public interest. The public interest is best served. and the most effective patent examination occurs when, at the time an application is being examined, the Office is aware of and evaluates the teachings of all information material to patentability. Each individual associated with the filing and prosecution of a patent application has a duty of candor and good faith in dealing with the Office, which includes a duty to disclose to the Office all information known to that individual to be material to patentability as defined in this section. The duty to disclose information exists with respect to each pending claim until the claim is canceled or withdrawn from consideration, or the application becomes abandoned. Information material to the patentability of a claim that is canceled or withdrawn from consideration need not be submitted if the information is not material to the patentability of any claim remaining under consideration in the application. There is no duty to submit information which is not material to the patentability of any existing claim. The duty to disclose all information known to be material to patentability is deemed to be satisfied if all information known to be material to patentability of any claim issued in a patent was cited by the Office or submitted to the Office in the manner prescribed by §§ 1.97(b)-(d) and 1.98. However, no patent will be granted on an application in connection with which fraud on the Office was practiced or attempted or the duty of disclosure was violated through bad faith or intentional misconduct. The Office encourages applicants to carefully examine:
 - (1) Prior art cited in search reports of a foreign patent office in a counterpart application, and
- (2) The closest information over which individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application believe any pending claim patentably defines, to make sure that any material information contained therein is disclosed to the Office.
- (b) Under this section, information is material to patentability when it is not cumulative to information already of record or being made of record in the application, and
- (1) It establishes, by itself or in combination with other information, a prima facie case of unpatentability of a claim; or
 - (2) It refutes, or is inconsistent with, a position the applicant takes in:
 - (i) Opposing an argument of unpatentability relied on by the Office, or
 - (ii) Asserting an argument of patentability.

A prima facie case of unpatentability is established when the information compels a conclusion that a claim is unpatentable under the preponderance of evidence, burden-of-proof standard, giving each term in the claim its broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification, and before any consideration is given to evidence which may be submitted in an attempt to establish a contrary conclusion of patentability.

- (c) Individuals associated with the filing or prosecution of a patent application within the meaning of this section are:
 - (1) Each inventor named in the application;
 - (2) Each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application; and
- (3) Every other person who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application.
- (d) Individuals other than the attorney, agent or inventor may comply with this section by disclosing information to the attorney, agent, or inventor.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of:

Confirmation No.:

Not Yet Assigned

ARAVIND YALAMANCHI, et al.

Group Art Unit No.:

Not Yet Assigned

Serial No.: Not Yet Assigned

Examiner:

Not Yet Assigned

Filed on:

For:

•

MANAGING EVENT-CONDITION-ACTION RULES IN A DATABASE SYSTEM

POWER OF ATTORNEY AND REVOCATION OF PREVIOUS POWERS

Commissioner for Patents P. O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Oracle International Corporation, a California corporation having a place of business at 500 Oracle Parkway, M/S 5OP7, Redwood Shores, California 94065, certifies that to the best of its knowledge and belief it is the assignee or is entitled to ownership of the entire right, title, and interest in and to the above-referenced patent application by virtue of an Assignment filed concurrently herewith and represents that the undersigned is a representative authorized and empowered to sign on behalf of Oracle International Corporation, which hereby revokes all powers of attorney previously given and appoints the following attorney(s) and/or agent(s): Edward A. Becker, Reg. No. 37,777; Marcel K. Bingham, Reg. No. 42,327; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; Christopher J. Palermo, Reg. No. 42,056; Bobby K. Truong, Reg. No. 37,499; Craig G. Holmes, Reg. No. 44,770, John D. Henkhaus, Reg. No. 42,656, Christian A. Nicholes, Reg. No. 50,266, Christopher J. Brokaw, Reg. No. 45,620, David Lewis, Reg. No. 33,101, Michael J. Meehan, Reg. No. 54,705, Paul A. Durdik, Reg. No. 37,819, and Kirk D. Wong, Reg. No. 43,284, all of:

HICKMAN PALERMO TRUONG & BECKER LLP 1600 Willow Street San Jose, California 95125-5106

and

Sanjay Prasad, Reg. No. 36,247; Michael A. Gelblum, Reg. No. P-55,971, Roger Kennedy, Reg. No. 44,823; Gabriela Buttz, Reg. No. 50,246, and Pavel Pogodin, Reg. No. 48,205, of ORACLE INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION with full power of substitution and

2/1/02

Docket No. 50277-2415 (OID 2003-248-01)

revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith. Send all future correspondence to the attention of Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894, care of the above address and direct all telephone calls to the same at (408) 414-1080.

Assignee of Interest:

Oracle International Corporation

Dated:

Ву:

Name:

Title:

Address of Assignee of Interest:

Oracle International Corporation

500 Oracle Parkway - M/S 5OP7

Redwood Shores, CA 94065

2/1/02